



Docket No.: O3020.0358/P358  
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:  
Tadao Nishiguchi et al.

Application No.: 10/690,520

Filed: October 23, 2003

Group Art Unit: 3662

Examiner: John B. Sotomayor

Allowed: April 6, 2005

For: OBJECT DETECTING METHOD AND  
OBJECT DETECTOR

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S  
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Window, Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dear Sir:

While Applicants agree in part with the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance, Applicants note that the allowed claims define further unique combinations of limitations not found in the prior art and not indicated in the Examiner's stated reasons. Therefore, the stated reasons for allowance should be interpreted as highlighting only some of the reasons why the claims are allowable.

As usual, the scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims, and no further limitation of the claims should be inferred from the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Dated: July 6, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No. 28,371

Salvatore P. Tamburo

Registration No. 45,153

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &  
OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicants